United States Department of the Interior National Park Service VLR - 12/2/98 NRHP- 1/21/99

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in *How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form* (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, work processor, or computer to complete all items.

1. Name of Property		
historic name Buckingham Historic District		
other names/site number_DHR_File No. 00-0	025	
2. Location		
street & numberArea bounded by N. 5th. N city or townstateVirginiacodeVA	/ A	lebe Road □ not for publication N/A □ vicinity ode □ 013 _ zip code _ 22203
3. State/Federal Agency Certification		
As the designated authority under the National Hist request for determination of eligibility meets the Historic Places and meets the procedural and profe meets does not meet the National Register of nationally statewide locally. (See continuational continuation See continuation	documentation standards for registering pessional requirements set forth in 36 CFI teria. I recommend that this property be uation sheet for additional comments.	properties in the National Register of Part 60. In my opinion, the property
In my opinion, the property ☐ meets ☐ does not m comments.)	eet the National Register criteria. (□ See	continuation sheet for additional
Signature of commenting official/Title	Date	
State or Federal agency and bureau		
4. National Park Service Certification		
I hereby certify that the property is: ☐ entered in the National Register ☐ See continuation sheet ☐ determined eligible for the National Register ☐ See continuation sheet ☐ determined not eligible for the National Register ☐ removed from the National Register. ☐ other, (explain):		

Buckingham Historic District Name of Property			Arlington County County and State	v. Virginia
5. Classification				
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)	Category of Property (Check only one box)		sources within Propert previously listed resources i	
☑ private ☐ public - local ☐ public - State ☐ public - Federal	☐ building (s) ☑ district ☐ site ☐ structure ☐ object	Contributing5200052	Noncontributing 2 0 0 0 2	buildings sites structures objects Total
Name of related multiple propert (Enter "N/A" if the property is not part of		Number of co in the Nationa	ntributing res <i>o</i> urces pr il Register	reviously listed
6. Function or Use Historic Functions (Enter categories from instructions)		Current Funct (Enter categories	tions s from instructions)	
Domestic/Multiple Dwelling		Domestic/ M	Sultiple Dwelling	
7. Description				
Architectural Classification (Enter categories from instructions)		Materials (Enter categories	s from instructions)	
Late 19th and 20th Centur Colonial Revival	y Revivals/	foundation(walls	Concrete Brick	
•			Slate	

Narrative Description (Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

See Attached Continuation Sheets

Name of	Property	County and State
8. State	ement of Significance	
Applica (Mark "x"	able National Register Criteria in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property nal Register listing.)	Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions)
IOI IVALIO	idi (tegesa namg.)	Architecture
⊠ APro	perty is associated with events that have made	
	ignificant contribution to the broad patterns of history	Community Planning & Development
		Landscape Architecture
	perty is associated with the lives of persons gnificant in our past.	
of a	perty embodies the distinctive characteristics a type, period, or method of construction or presents the work of a master, or possesses	
hig dis	h artistic values, or represents a significant and tinguishable entity whose components lack ividual distinction.	Period of Significance
1110	ividual distiliction.	1937 - 1938
	pperty has yielded, or is likely to yield promation important in prehistory or history.	
	Considerations in all boxes that apply.)	Significant Dates 1937-1938
Propert	y is:	
□ A	owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.	
		Significant Person
□В	removed from its original location	(Completed if Criterion B is marked above)
□ C	a birthplace or grave.	N/A
□ D	a cemetery	Cultural Affiliation
ΩE	a reconstructed building, object, or structure	
□F	a commemorative property	
□G	less than 50 years of age or achieved significance	
	within the past 50 years.	Architect/Builder
		Wright, Henry
		Paramount Communities, Inc.
	ve Statement of Significance the significance of the property of one or more continuation	sheets.)
9. Maj	or Bibliographical References	
Bibliog (Cite the	praphy books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this fro	om on one or more continuation sheets.)
Previo	us documentation on file (NPS): preliminary determination of individual listing (36)	Primary location of additional data: 图 State Historic Preservation Office
	CFR 67) has been requested	☐ Other State Agency
	and the second of the second o	☐ Federal agency ☐ Local government ☐ University
0		⊞ Other Name of repository:
0	recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #	VA Denartment of Historic Resources

Arlington County, Virginia

Buckingham Historic District

Zone Zone 18 Verbal Boun Describe the b	Property 1008 1317100 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	4304960 ⁴	. 18 cone . See conti	317520 4304500 Easting Northing nuation sheet	
JTM Referent Place addition 18 Zone 18 Verbal Boun Describe the beau Describe the	al UTM reference 317100 Easting 317420 Idary Description of sustification	nces on a continue 4304970 3 Northing Z 4304960 4 D ption the property on a continue	. 18 cone . See conti	Easting Northing nuation sheet	
Place addition 18 Zone 18 Zerbal Boun Describe the becomedary Justice September 19 Explain why the second s	317100 Easting 317420 dary Description of stiffication	4304970 3 Northing Z 4304960 4 C ption the property on a c	. 18 cone . See conti	Easting Northing nuation sheet	
18 Zone 18 erbal Boun escribe the boundary Judenstant	317100 Easting 317420 dary Descripoundaries of ustification	4304970 3 Northing Z 4304960 4 C ption the property on a c	. 18 cone . See conti	Easting Northing nuation sheet	
Zone 18 Zerbal Boun Describe the become the	Easting 317420 dary Descripoundaries of ustification	Northing Z 4304960 6 ption the property on a c	one . See conti	Easting Northing nuation sheet	
18 Verbal Boun Describe the b Boundary Ju Explain why th	317420 dary Descripoundaries of	4304960 4 ption the property on a c	. See conti	nuation sheet	
erbal Boun Describe the boundary Ju Explain why the	dary Description	4304960 C ption the property on a c	See conti		
escribe the b oundary Ju xplain why th	ooundaries of ustification	the property on a c	continuation si	heet.)	
xplain why th		were selected on			
•	ne boundaries	were selected on			
1. Form Pr			a continuation	n sheet.)	
		Duam Duaine	+ A		
ame/title	<u>Marie</u>	Ryan, Projec	t Assistan	II.	
rganization	Parad	gm Developr	nent Com	pany	date <u>July 15, 1998</u>
reet & numl	ber <u>3333</u>	K Street. NW	Suite 10	0	telephone (202) 342-3487
ty or town	Washi	ngton, D.C.		state	zip code <u>20007</u>
	ocumentati				
	•	th the completed t	om:		
ontinuatior	1 Sheets				
aps A US	SGS map (7	.5 or 15 minute s	series) indica	ating the property's location.	
A SI	cetch map fo	or historic distric	ts and prope	erties having large acreage or nun	nerous resources.
hotographs	3				
Rep	resentative I	lack and white	photograpi	hs of the property.	
.dditional it Check with the	•	O for any addition	al items)		
roperty Ow					
•		quest of SHPO or			
ame <u>Per</u>	shing Driv	<u>e Associates.</u>	Limited	Partnership c/o Paradigm D	Development Company
reet & numi	per <u>3333</u>]	K Street, N. V	/., Suite 1	00, Washington, D.C. 200	07 telephone (202) 342-3487
ty or town _	Washi	ngton, D. C.	20007	state	zip code20007

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: this information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing of determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 120 hours per response including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, paperwork Reductions Project (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

Arlington County, Virginia

OMB Approval No. 10024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

				Buckingham Historic District
Section number	7	Page	1	Arlington County, VA

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

The Buckingham Historic District comprises the residential community of Buckingham located in the urban county of Arlington, Virginia, just south of Washington, D.C. Now known as Historic Ballston Park, the 52 brick buildings which make up the community are situated in an area that is bound by N. 5th Street, N. Oxford Street, N. 2nd Street and N. Glebe Road and bisected by N. Pershing Drive. The multi-family apartment buildings were designed by Henry Wright in the Colonial Revival style and were built by Paramount Communities, Inc. between 1937 and 1938. The two-story brick buildings were constructed of brick and hollow tile. One three-story brick building was constructed to test the appeal of modern architecture. Each two-story building has decorative entrance surrounds, symmetrically paired windows and brick quoining. The site plan of the community, which incorporates open spaces and courtyards, is also a significant feature of the community. Generally, the community is in good condition but it is necessary for a major rehabilitation to be undertaken to extend the useful life of the buildings. A sympathetic rehabilitation has been planned so that the original architectural integrity of the buildings will continue to be kept intact.

Originally, the land on which the Buckingham Historic District was built was a farm. About 100 acres of the eastwardly sloping landscape was developed as a model of affordable apartment communities for middle class Americans in the 1930s to 1950s by Paramount Communities, Inc. The earliest part of the development is situated on nearly 25 acres of land and is comprised of the 52 brick buildings that constitute the historic district. All but one of these brick buildings are two-story Colonial Revival-style brick buildings. There is a single three-story brick building that was built in the International style to test the appeal of modern architecture. Some brick buildings have only one address. The majority of single-address brick buildings have four apartments that may be one, two, or three bedrooms, or efficiency apartments. There are several single-address brick buildings which are configured with townhouses that have two or three bedrooms. Most brick buildings have two or three addresses which contain one, two, or three bedrooms or efficiency apartments as well. The original community also had a commercial area which is outside of the proposed historic district.

The Colonial Revival-style brick buildings that make up most of the proposed historic district were constructed with brick and hollow tile. Most of the six-course American bond brick buildings have gable or hip-roofs that are clad in slate shingles. Several of the brick buildings, including the three-story brick building, have flat roofs. Architectural features such as cast concrete decorative surrounds at the front entrance and brick quoining help to accentuate the Colonial Revival style of the buildings. Windows were originally double hung, six over six, wood windows. Many windows are paired sets that are symmetrically balanced on the facades of the brick building. Roofs were accentuated with wooden ventilation louvers that were originally covered in copper. Several of the brick buildings were originally painted in an off-white or gray color. As the community progressed, the painted brick buildings became increasingly popular and thus, more brick buildings were painted by the end of construction of the entire community.

OMB Approval No. 10024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

			Buckingham Historic District
Section number	Page	2	Arlington County, VA

The brick buildings of Buckingham were laid out on angular streets instead of costly curving streets. Angular streets were used to relieve some of the heavy vehicular traffic around the residential area and promoted safety in the neighborhood. With this street layout, the designers were able to place the facades of the buildings near the streets and create large open courtyards to the rear of the buildings. These courtyards were designed for use by the residents at Buckingham as recreational areas and included amenities such as playground equipment for children. There are only two instances in which a more formal courtyard was created at the front elevations of a group of buildings. The arrangement of buildings at Buckingham resulted in a density that is far less than that of other comparable communities. Further, less area in the streets as compared to a typical gridiron plan and the merging of open space into large communal areas, helped to achieve a more desirable density than that which was achieved with high rise or other types of high density residential development.

The predominant feature of the original landscape plan are trees along the street frontages which have matured. Vegetation including azaleas, rhododendrons and many species of evergreens continue to thrive and dot the landscape but do not create a clear pattern of the original landscape plan. Many areas have been left barren after the demise of the original plant materials.

The condition of the earliest constructed brick buildings is generally good. Over time certain alterations have taken place at the community. The original wood windows have been replaced with aluminum and vinyl, single hung windows. As a cooling system was not incorporated into the community during construction, air conditioning has been achieved by placing individual units in apartment windows or by cutting into the brick and placing an air conditioning unit through the wall. Other alterations have included the replacement of slate shingle roofs with asphalt shingle roofs and reconfiguration of some flat roofs to either hipped or gable roofs. The exterior facades of the brick buildings do not generally reveal the antiquated mechanical systems or other interior conditions that necessitate renovation in order to extend the useful life of these brick buildings. Currently, the owners are undertaking a major rehabilitation of the community.

Over the course of time, Buckingham has changed hands several times. The level of maintenance and upkeep which the community received has varied over the past fifty years. As a result of the varying degrees of disrepair as well as the age of the brick buildings, numerous items will be repaired, refurbished or replaced during the rehabilitation process:

Within the historic district are two brick buildings that do not contribute to the significance of the historic district. The first is the new one-story, cross-hipp-roofed marketing and community center at 351 N. Glebe Road. This brick building built in 1996 was designed to be sympathetic to the original brick buildings but to also provide the necessary space for management of the community and be distinguishable as a new brick building.

The second non-contributing brick building is a two-story single-family house located at 225 N. Piedmont Street. This Craftsman-style house borrows detailing from earlier Victorian architecture. The

OMB Approval No. 10024-0018

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

		Buckingham Historic District
Section number7	Page3	Arlington County, VA

exposed roof rafters and windows typical of the Craftsman style are accentuated by a steeply pitched roof more commonly found on Victorian houses. Built prior to the development of Buckingham, this dwelling does not contribute to the significance of the planned community.

The Buckingham Historic District appears much as it did when it was first developed. Significant development to the surrounding community has included several high-rise apartment brick buildings and office brick buildings to the north of the area as well as a shopping mall and a municipal parking garage. The residential neighborhoods of single-family dwellings to the east and west are contemporaries of Buckingham and continue to maintain the residential feel of the overall neighborhood. Further south is some commercial development focused on the intersection of N. Glebe Road and U.S. Route 50.

Buckingham's two-story brick apartment buildings are distinct from the surrounding community of single-family dwellings, high-rise condominiums, and commercial areas. The arrangement of brick buildings along the streets with rear courtyards and the low density also distinguishes the community from the surrounding area. The 52 brick buildings which make up the Buckingham Historic District are distinguished from the rest of the original Buckingham community because they are the earliest brick buildings developed as part of Paramount Communities' planned community. Another factor which distinguishes the community from the surrounding neighborhood is that it continues to be a rental housing community for middle-class workers of Washington, D.C.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _	7	Page4_	
		· - 3	_

Buckingham Historic District Arlington County, VA

Description of Individual Brick buildings

Address	Description
VILLAGE 9	
3900, 3904, 3908 N. Fourth Street	This is the three-story, white painted brick building which tested the appeal of modern architecture. It's a three-bay, flat-roofed building with an English basement.
3912 N. Fourth Street	This is a one-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building. It is part of a 5-building landscaped courtyard (3912, 3914, 3916, 3918, 3920, 3922, 3924, 3926) located on N. Fourth Street.
3914-3916 N. Fourth Street	This brick building has a hip roof and is comprised of two back-to-back townhouses. The building has been painted.
3918-3920 N. Fourth Street	This two-bay, two-story brick building has a hip roof and is painted.
3922-3924 N. Fourth Street.	This brick building has a hip roof and is comprised of two back-to-back townhouses. The building has been painted.
3926 N. Fourth Street	This is a one-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building.
3930-3932 N. Fourth Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building
310, 312, 314 N. Piedmont Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, gable-roofed brick building. The door surrounds at this address are some of the more elaborate found on site.
320-322 N. Piedmont Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building that is part of a three-building (320-322, 324-326-328, 330-332) landscaped courtyard on N. Piedmont Street.
324, 326, 328 N. Piedmont Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
330,332 N. Piedmont Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
3903, 3905, 3907 N. Pershing Drive	This is a three-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
309, 311 N. Quebec Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, gable-roofed brick building.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number7 F	Page5 Arlington County, VA
Address	Description
315, 317, 319 N. Quebec Street	This is a three-bay brick building. The center address (315) has a gable roof with flat roofs on the "wings" which are 317 and 319 N. Quebec.
347-349 N. Glebe Road	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
351 N. Glebe Road	This is a new, 3500 square foot, single story, community center which is non-contributing.
353-357 N. Glebe Road	This brick building has a hip-roofed and is comprised of two back-to-back townhouses.
359, 363, 367, 369 N. Glebe Road	This is a two-story, two-bay brick building with two townhouses at either end. The main part of the building has a gable roof while there are flat roofs on the townhouses. The entire building is painted.
373 N. Glebe Road	This is a one-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
381-383 N. Glebe Road	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
VILLAGES 8 & 12	
411, 415, 419 N. Glebe Road	This is a three-bay, two-story, painted, hip-roofed brick building.
3825 N. Fifth Street	This is one-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
3900-3902 N. Fifth Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, brick building with a gable roof.

roofs at both ends.

3909- 3911 N. Fifth Street

3901, 3903, 3905 N. Fifth Street

3908, 3910, 3912, 3914, 3916

N. Fifth Street

flat roofs at both ends. It is part of a three-building landscaped courtyard (3909, 3911, 3915, 3917, 3921, 3923) on N. Fifth Street

This is a three-bay, two-story, cross-gable-roofed brick building.

3915, 3917, 3919 N. Fifth Street

This is a three-bay, two-story, gable-roofed building.

3921-3923 N. Fifth Street

This is a two-bay, two-story, brick building with a central hipped roof and flat roofs at both ends.

This is a five-bay, two-story brick building with a cross-gable roof and flat

This is a two-bay, two-story, brick building with a central hipped roof and

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number	7	Page	6
		. <u>~</u> 90	

Buckingham Historic District Arlington County, VA

	1
Address	Description
4000, 4002, 4004, 4006 N. Fifth Street	This is a four-bay, two-story, brick building with a central hipped roof and flat roofs at both ends. The roof of this building was changed from slate shingles to asphalt shingles.
4001, 4003, 4005 N. Fifth Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, brick building with a central cross gable roof and hipped roofs at both ends.
3901-3903 N. Fourth Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, painted brick building with a central gable roof and flat roofs at both ends.
3909-3911 N. Fourth Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, brick building.
3919-3921 N. Fourth Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, brick building.
3931, 3933, 3935 N. Fourth Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, brick building.
VILLAGE 11 3822, 3824, 3826 N. Fifth Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building.
309, 311, 313, 315 N. Piedmont Street	This is a four-bay, two-story gable roofed brick building.
319, 321, 323, 325 N. Piedmont Street	This is a four-bay, two-story brick building with a central hipped roof and a flat roof at each end.
401, 403, 405, 407 N. Piedmont Street	This is a four-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
310-312 N. Oxford Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
316, 318, 320, 322 N. Oxford Street	This is a four-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building.
326-328 N. Oxford Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.
400, 402, 404, 406 N. Oxford Street	This is a four-bay, two-story, painted brick building with a hipped roof and two cross gables above the bays at the farthest ends of the building.
3823-3825 N. Pershing Drive	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number Pa	ge 7 Buckingham Historic District Arlington County, VA
VILLAGE 10 200, 202, 204 N. Piedmont Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, gable-roofed, brick building.
Address	Description
201, 203, 205 N. Piedmont Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, gable-roofed, brick building.
209, 211, 213, 215 N. Piedmont Street	This is a four-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building.
216, 218, 220, 222 N. Piedmont Street	This is a four-bay, two-story, gable-roofed brick building.
219-221 N. Piedmont Street	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, brick building.
225 N. Piedmont Street	This is a stand alone, single family dwelling which is non-contributing.
226, 228, 230, 232, 234 N. Piedmont Street	This is a five-bay, two-story brick building with a central gable roof and flat roofs at both ends.
227, 229, 231 N. Piedmont Street	This is a three-bay, two-story, brick building.
215, 217, 219 N. Glebe Road	This is a three-bay, two-story, hip-roofed, painted brick building.
223-225 N. Glebe Road	This is a two-bay, two-story, hip-roofed brick building.

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _	8	Page	Q
Section number _		rage	

Buckingham Historic District Arlington County, Virginia

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

As a community, the Buckingham Historic District is closely associated with the development of Arlington from a rural area to an independent suburban Northern Virginia county. Built between 1937 and 1938 in the Colonial Revival style, Buckingham is historically significant as a well preserved example of the planned garden-style residential communities that became popular during the suburbanization of many metropolitan areas during the first decades of the twentieth century. With its low-density buildings, landscaped courtyards and streetscapes and accessibility to the nation's capital. the community became a model for other planned residential communities for white collar, middle class families. The success of the first 52 buildings included in this nomination, which comprised the original community development, led to the construction of nearly 2,000 units by the end of Buckingham's construction in 1950 based on the final site plan design of architect Henry Wright. In the mid 1930's. the Committee for Economic and Social Progress, a private group consisting of 16 national business leaders including Allie Freed, sponsored the Buckingham project. The Committee for Economic and Social Progress formulated the concept of linking private financial sources with home building companies to foster the creation of better housing, i.e. higher quality, lower cost housing, for the majority of American families. During the same period Allie Freed was also the president of Paramount Communities Inc., which became the home building company that constructed the Buckingham project.

Prior to 1900, Arlington was a rural area on the outskirts of the nation's capital and the City of Alexandria. The economy of the county was supported mostly by black farmers who were welcomed in the area due to the abolitionist sentiment that had existed prior to the Civil War. In the latter part of the nineteenth century and at the beginning of the twentieth century, the introduction of the electric railway and other developments in transportation gave Washingtonians the opportunity to live outside the capital in the "country". Arlington became an appealing location for commuters because it proved to be closer to jobs in the District than some locations within the District's city limits. With considerable growth in the size of the federal government through both World Wars as well as construction projects such as the Pentagon and Ft. Myer, the appearance and demographics of the county began to change. An increasing number of middle class families working for the government at the local, state, and federal levels created a demand for housing that could not be met within the city limits of Washington, DC

With an increasing population and improved standard of living, developers throughout the country became aware of the necessity for better housing at more moderate prices. Planned residential communities in suburban communities of Long Island and New Jersey were developed outside of metropolitan New York by men such as architect Henry Wright and planner Clarence Stein. The communities of Sunnyside Gardens and Radburn served as templates for Wright to model and improve upon. Henry Wright collaborated with his associates for his last project which would be known as

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

		Buckingham Historic District
Section number8	Page9	Arlington County, Virginia

Buckingham in Arlington, Virginia. As first contemplated, Buckingham was to be a 54-building, 510-unit rental community.² By the time construction ended in 1950, over 2,000 units had been constructed occupying nearly 85 acres of land. The community also included a commercial area that was not part of the original development plan.

As a result of Allie Freed's implementation of the concepts developed by the Committee for Economic and Social Progress, Buckingham was hailed by Oscar Fisher, author of Architectural Record's January 1938 article "Buckingham: Housing Laboratory", "as an excellent example" of the "attempt to provide economically sound housing for the forgotten 90 percent." In a report prepared by the Committee for Economic Recovery, private funds were urged to be invested in rental housing. The report urged private businesses to "organize home building companies to create large scale planned community developments." With the backing of the Federal Housing Administration, a low interest mortgage was obtained which allowed for more secure investment of private funds into the project. Although the necessity for housing predicated the need for the development of Buckingham, profit was the principal motivator for the investors in this project. At that time, private investment in rental communities was not popular. Success, as determined by the dividends paid of 4.65% and 5% to its investors, by organizations such as the City and Suburban Homes Company of New York and the Washington Sanitary Improvement Company proved that investment in the rental housing business could be profitable.

Allie Freed chose Henry Wright to design the buildings and site plan for his community, as Wright was known for his work as a promoter of the garden city principles. Wright had collaborated with Clarence Stein at the Sunnyside Gardens project outside of New York City as well as the Radburn, New Jersey project. At these projects, these men implemented the principles of British garden cities which were outlined in Ebenezer Howard's Garden Cities of Tomorrow as well as German designs which were known as "Zeilenbau". These early projects contributed to the acceptance of the garden city movement in America. Throughout his career, Wright advocated solving the problem of well-designed housing while providing housing at a cost which all Americans could afford. By rejecting the standard practices of the real estate practice, Wright "turned the buildings around" and demonstrated that with the elimination of side yards, greater light, ventilation, and open recreation space could be accommodated in residential communities without increasing the costs.⁵

In order to make the project successful, Allie Freed saw that the home building business needed to change from a professional approach to an industrial approach. Mr. Freed saw home building as an "agglomeration of guilds, each operating independently." With his Paramount Communities, Inc. company, Freed was able to apply techniques used in the automobile industry to the construction of Buckingham. In order to facilitate the construction, materials were bought and packaged in complete units. For example, tiles for each bathroom were packaged in "room-unit sets" and "constituted one of

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

				Buckingham Historic District
Section number	8	Page	_10	Arlington County, Virginia

continuous use of construction equipment and forms accomplished further economies." As a result, Buckingham was not "low-cost" housing but rather housing that was lower in cost because of the "economies and improved quality made possible by large-scale operation"

Building homes at a lower cost was only part of the equation in making the Buckingham project successful. Construction of dwellings appealing to the market was also of utmost importance. Although rental housing was increasingly becoming popular with a variety of income classes, designs for apartment buildings were not altered. Most designs for multi-family dwellings resulted in narrow, deep buildings with dark side lots. Henry Wright, though, advocated the preservation of open spaces in community planning to provide for "natural green, for recreation, for light, for healthful living, and for more spacious and beautiful living." These ideas were implemented at Wright and Clarence Stein's projects of Sunnyside Gardens and Radburn as well as at Buckingham. The building's characteristics are those that were found in the increasingly popular garden style. They have wide frontages, varying from 45 feet to 80 feet to 170 feet, with shallow depths, generally 30 feet. Each apartment is equal in depth to that of the building. As had been done at Radburn, bedrooms were placed so that they faced the interior courtyards and sides of buildings while service rooms such as living or dining rooms faced the roads. Buildings were built no higher than two stories with the exception of the one building designed in the International Style.

At the time of Buckingham's development, the Colonial Revival architectural style was the predominant style for new construction. Americans began to return to colonial architecture after the Philadelphia Centennial of 1876. With growing American dominance in the political and economic arenas around the world, Americans looked more proudly on their own architectural achievements and over the course of years from the Centennial to the development of Buckingham, the style enjoyed a period of maturity that led to reproductions of Georgian and Federal-style buildings. As time progressed through the Great Depression and America's participation in the World Wars, "changing postwar fashions led to a simplification of the style." Throughout these periods, the Colonial Revival style continued to be the prevalent architectural style in residential construction.

At Buckingham, the site plan was designed so that approximately 20% of the land was covered with buildings and the remainder "devoted to landscaped parks, play areas, and streets." With the use of wide frontages, courtyards were created to the rear of the buildings. These courtyards were occupied by children's play equipment in several areas around the community. Underground utilities were put in place so that these courtyards could be utilized for other recreational activities by the community's residents. Two formal courtyards were also designed. Both rear and front courtyards were designed with an interconnecting network of sidewalks. This network also connected the parking areas along the streets with the interior courtyard buildings and rear entrances to buildings. Due to Washingtonians' habits, parking bays along the streets were created rather than provisions for garage parking. These bays

United States Department of the InteriorNational Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

				Buckingham Historic District
Section number	8	Page	11	Arlington County, Virginia

"provide an innovation which preserves the full street width for traffic and an orderliness not otherwise possible." ¹⁴

While construction continued, the developers adapted their original plan to suit market trends. Despite using assembly line techniques during construction, variations were allowed for in the buildings. In order to avoid monotony, several buildings were painted with gray or white in order to break up the monochromatic red brick buildings. Other decorative details such as cast stone door surrounds were created in various styles. Also used as a tool in adding to the variety were cast stone window lintels and sills. Quoining was used on some buildings to create variety in the architecture of Buckingham. One-bedroom apartments, or as it was referred to in 1938, a three-room apartment, was the most popular dwelling configuration. The developers were able to make changes in their plans and create more of these particular units as construction progressed. Dining space outside of the kitchen also became a feature that prospective residents preferred and one which the developers were able to incorporate into their plans. Standardization, though, was a key in keeping down the costs of the project.

By combining many different ideas related to development, financing and construction Buckingham is an innovative example of the garden-style apartment community which Americans embraced in the early half of this century. By improving on already accepted principles of garden city planning, Wright was able to design a community that met the needs of a growing middle class population. This plan also met with favorable responses by investors who helped to secure financing that allowed the project to be constructed. Buckingham is also a significant reminder of the growth of Arlington as a major residential community supporting the nation's capital and Arlington's development as an urban center.

Buckingham passed through several owners before Pershing Drive Associates, Limited Partnership, a joint-venture between Paradigm Development Company, Arlington Housing Corporation and Arlington County, purchased the property in February 1996. As Allie Freed had been able to accomplish during the original development of Buckingham, these organizations were able to take advantage of several unique financing mechanisms in order to rehabilitate Buckingham, now known as Historic Ballston Park at Buckingham. Through historic tax credits, low-income tax credits and an affordable housing program, Pershing Drive Associates has been able to maintain the original architectural integrity of the community and create a thriving community which will continue to endure as a model example for middle-class, rental housing.

¹ Christine M. Peleszak, "The Demographic Complexion of Arlington, Virginia 1800-1950," graduate paper, The George Washington University, Washington, D. C., 1986, p. 1

² "New Va. Project Result of U.S. Home Shortage, "Washington Post, May 16, 1937, pp. 1.

United States Department of the InteriorNational Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

			24041161
Section number	8	Page12	Arlington County, Virginia

³ Oscar Fisher, "Buckingham: Housing Laboratory," Architectural Record, January 1938, pp. 70.

⁴ "Private Funds Urged in Housing Program," The New York Times, February 1937, Real Estate. pp. 4

⁵ Deborah Canann and Joy Gatewood, "Draft National Register of Historic Places nomination", Arlington Heritage Alliance, p. 8.2

⁶ Fisher, p. 69.

⁷ Fisher, p. 75.

⁸ Fisher, p. 72.

⁹ Fisher, p. 69.

¹⁰ Clarence Stein, Toward New Towns for American, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1971, p. 21.

¹¹ Ibid., p. 41

¹² Virginia and Lee McAlester, A Field Guide to American Houses, Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1989, p. 326.

¹³ Fisher, p. 70.

¹⁴ Fisher, p. 70.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number	9	Page	13	Arlington County, Virginia

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- "Arlington Body to Give Dinner For FHA Head" Washington Post. December 5, 1937, p. 4.
- "Assembly Line Technique Used at Buckingham" Washington Post. January 9, 1938 Real Estate Section p 5.
- "Buckingham Housing Gets New Manager; Planning is Altered as New Units Rise." Washington Post. June 17, 1938 Real Estate Section, p. 39.
- Canann, Deborah and Joy Gatewood. "Draft National Register of Historic Places nomination", Arlington Heritage Alliance.
- Davis, Elizabeth M. "Garden Apartments in the U.S. to 1945." graduate paper, The George Washington University, 1986.
- Domer, Dennis. "Washington Come of Age 1920-1950." graduate paper, The George Washington University, 1986.
- Fisher, Oscar. "Buckingham: Housing Laboratory" Architectural Record. January 1938, pp. 69-101.
- McAlester, Virginia and Lee. A Field Guide to American Houses. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1989.
- "New Virginia Project Result of U.S. Home Shortage" Washington Post. May 16, 1937, pp. 1-2.
- Peleszak, Christine M. "The Demographic Complexion of Arlington, Virginia 1800-1950." graduate paper, The George Washington University, 1986.
- "Post Office and market for Buckingham" Washington Post. December 30, 1945. Section III, p. 5.
- "Private Funds Urged in Housing Program" Washington Post. February 14, 1937.
- Stein, Clarence. Toward New Towns for America. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1971.
- "Still More Apartments" Washington Post., September 7, 1941. Real Estate Section P. 8
- Wright, Gwendolyn. Building the Dream.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number10	Page14	Arlington County, Virginia

GEOGRAPHICAL DATA

Verbal Boundary Description

The nominated property consists of the following parcels; 20-009-023, 20-010-023, 20-017-001, 20-021-001, 20-032-002, 20-033-095, 20-033-096, 20-018-001, 14-061-066 as described on Map 3 of the Arlington County 1998 Real Estate Assessment Book.

Boundary Justification

The boundaries of the proposed Buckingham Historic District include that portion of the entire Buckingham project that was constructed between 1937 and 1938. These 52 buildings were part of Paramount Communities' original plan for development. It was not until these buildings were constructed that the approximately 1,500 remaining units were contemplated for construction. Development of the commercial areas, which occurred after that of the original residential areas, was based on the success of the first 52 buildings meeting the housing market's demand. In this application the commercial areas have been excluded and are non-contributing

Further, this portion of the community is architecturally cohesive. The architectural detailing for these 52 original buildings is of the same quality and design. Later constructed buildings feature more simplistic and less distinguished elements such as door surrounds.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number Photographs Page 15 Arlington County, Virginia

PHOTOGRAPH LABELS
Name of PropertyBuckingham Historic District
County & StateArlington County, Virginia
PhotographerMarie Ryan
Date of PhotographsMarch 1997
Location of Original NegativeVirginia Department of Historic Resources
2801 Kensington Ave.
Richmond, VA 23221
Richmond, VA 25221
Description of View View looking Southwest at 3918/3920 N. Fourth Street, 3922/3924 N. Fourth Street and 3926 N. Fourth Street, Village 9, Fourth Street Courtyard
Negative No16822:4
Photograph No
Description of View View looking Southeast at rear of 310/312/314 N. Piedmont, Village 9
Negative No16822:24
Photograph No
Description of View View looking South along 200 block of N. Piedmont Street, Village 10
Negative No16824:9A
Photograph No 3 of 13
Description of View View looking Northeast at 3909/3911 and 3915/3917/3919 N. Fifth street during rehabilitation, Village 8
Negative No16824:21A
Photograph No4 of 13
Description of ViewView looking West at rear 4000/4002/4004/4006 N. Fifth Street during rehabilitation, Village 8
Negative No16824:23A
Photograph No
G 1
Description of View View looking Northeast at 347/349, 353/347 N. Glebe Road, Village 9
Negative No16821:3

Photograph No......6 of 13

Description of View....... View looking North at rear of 359/363/367/369 N. Glebe Road and 3918/3920

N. Fourth Street, Village 9

Negative No......16821:5 Photograph No......7 of 13

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Photograph No...... 13 of 13

Section number Photographs Page 16

Buckingham Historic District Arlington County, Virginia

Description of ViewV	View looking Northeast along Piedmont Street, Village 8 and Village 11
Negative No1	6821:20
Photograph No8	of 13
Description of ViewV	View looking West at 324/326/328 and 330/332 N. Piedmont Street, Village 9
Negative No10	-
Photograph No9	
Description of ViewV	View looking Southeast at 3900/3904/3908 N. Piedmont Street, Village 9
Negative No10	_
Photograph No1	0 of 13
Description of ViewV	/iew looking South at Oxford Street, Village 11
Negative No 1	
Photograph No1	1 of 13
- *	View looking at 351 N. Glebe Road, Community & Marketing Center, Village (Noncontributing building)
Negative No1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Photograph No1	
- •	View looking east at 225 N. Piedmont Street, Village 10 (Noncontributing building)
Negative No1	6709:13

