# Draft Framework Element and Alternative Evaluation Criteria

VERSION 07.10.2013

### **URBAN DESIGN**

	Performance/Effectiveness						Implementation Opportunity			
	Function	Character	Accessibility	Value	Sustainability	Cost-benefit	Feasibility	Timing		
-1	Loss of walkability/ human scale	Diminishes community activity/identity	Worse (existing accessible connection(s) lost)	Reduced market and/or community value	Regression from county goals	Cost outweighs perceived benefit	Not practically feasible	Delays implementation of other elements		
0	No change	No change	No change	No significant change to market and/or community value	No change	No significant net cost or benefit	Not known during this planning process	Indefinite		
	Minor improvement to walkability/ human scale	commilativ activity/	Minor improvement (existing connection improved)		Minor progress toward county goals (promotes at least one goal e.g. transportation choices, social connections, microclimate)	Positive cost- benefit tier 1	Significant design, space or action challenges (e.g. major incentives to property owners needed)	6-10 years		
	Notable improvement to walkability/ human scale	Notable improvement to community activity/ identity	Notable improvement (missing connection created in basic form)	Notable enhancement to market and/or community value	Notable progress toward county goals (promotes at least 2 goals e.g. transportation choices, social connections, microclimate)	Positive costbenefit tier 2	Modest design, space or action challenges (e.g. some inc entives to property owners needed)	3-5 years		
	Transformative improvement to walkability/ human scale	provement to commu-	Transformative improvement (missing connection created in compelling form)	Transformative enhancement to market and/or community value	Transformative progress toward county goals (promotes at least 3 goals e.g. transportation choices, social connections, microclimate)	Positive costbenefit tier 3	Easily, readily implemented (e.g. on county land, or supportive partners available)	1-2 years		

#### TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

		Performance/Effectiveness						Implementation Opportunity			
	Function	Character	Accessibility	Value	Sustainability	Cost-benefit	Feasibility	Timing			
-1	Loss of access capacity	Prevents achieving vision goals	Worse (existing accessible connection(s) lost)	Reduced market and/or community value	Regression from county goals	Cost outweighs perceived benefit	Not practically feasible	Delays implementa- tion of other elements			
0	No change	No change	No change	No significant change to market and/or community value	No change	No significant net cost or benefit	Not known during this planning process	Indefinite			
1	Minor access improvement (modest improvement for 1-2 modes, or big improvement for 1 mode)	Minor improvement supporting vision goals	Minor improvement (existing connection improved)	Minor enhancement to mar- ket and/or community value	Minor progress toward county goals (promotes at least 1 goal e.g. lower carbon emissions,, health, microclimate)	Positive cost-ben- efit tier 1	Significant design/ROW challenges (e.g. coordinate with multiple property owners, jurisdictions)	6-10 years			
2	Notable access improvement (modest improvement for 2-3 modes, or big improvement for 2 modes)	_	Notable improvement (missing connection created in basic form)	Notable enhancement to market and/or community value	Notable progress toward county goals (promotes at least 2 goals e.g. lower carbon emissions,, health, microclimate)	efit tier 2	Modest design/ROW challenges (e.g. coordinate with one property owner or jurisdiction)	3-5 years			
3	Transformative access improvement (modest improvement for 3-4 modes, or big improvement for 3 modes)	Transformative improvement supporting vision goals	Transformative improve- ment (missing connection created in compelling form)	Transformative enhancement to market and/or community value	Transformative progress toward county goals (promotes at least 3 goals e.g. lower carbon emissions,, health, microclimate)		Easily, readily implemented (e.g. on county ROW)	1-2 years			





## Draft Framework Element and Alternative Evaluation Criteria Continued

VERSION 07.10.2013

1-2 years

Easily, readily applied

Positive

cost-benefit tier 3 policy

goals (promotes at least 3 goals e.g. solar ac-

cess, lower carbon emissions, microclimate)

BUILDING HEIGHT AND FORM										
	Performance/Effectiveness						Implementation Opportunity			
	Function	Character	Accessibility	Value	Sustainability	Cost-benefit	Feasibility	Timing		
-1	Loss of key views, access to sun and sky	Worsens context scale/ character relationships	Worse (existing accessible connection(s) lost)	Reduced to development opportunity	Regression from county goals	Cost outweighs perceived benefit	Not practically feasible	Delays implementa- tion of other elements		
0	No change	No change	No change	No significant change to development opportunity	No change	No significant net cost or benefit	Not known during this planning process	Indefinite		
1	Minor improvement/ preservation of key views, access to sun and sky	Minor improvement to context scale/character relationships	Minor improvement (existing connection improved)	Minor enhancement to development opportunity	Minor progress toward county goals (promotes at least 1 goal e.g. solar access, lower carbon emissions, microclimate)		Significant policy application challenges	6-10 years		
2	Notable improvement/ preservation of key views, access to sun and sky	Notable improvement to context scale/character relationships	Notable improvement (missing connection created in basic form)	Notable enhancement to development opportunity	Notable progress toward county goals (promotes at least 2 goals e.g. solar access, lower carbon emissions, microclimate)	Positive cost-benefit tier 2	Modest policy application challenges	3-5 years		
	Transformative improvement/	Transformative improve-	Transformative improve-	Transformative enhancement	Transformative progress toward county	Positive	Facily readily applied			

Transformative enhancement

to development opportunity

#### **PUBLIC PARKS AND OPEN SPACE**

ment to context scale/

character relationships

ment (missing connection

created in compelling form)

preservation of key views,

access to sun and sky

	Performance/Effectiveness						Implementation Opportunity			
	Function	Character	Accessibility	Value	Sustainability	Cost-benefit	Feasibility	Timing		
-1	Loss of function/ program	Prevents achieving vision goals	Worse (existing accessible connection(s) lost)	Reduced market and/or community value	Regression from county goals	Cost outweighs perceived benefit	Not practically feasible	Delays implementation of other elements		
0	No change	No change	No change	No significant change to market and/or community value	No change	No significant net cost or benefit	Not known during this plan- ning process	Indefinite		
1	Minor improvement/ new program opportunity addressing needs	Minor improvement supporting vision goals	Minor improvement (existing connection improved)	Minor enhancement to market and/or community value	Minor progress toward county goals (promotes at least 1 goal e.g. water quality, health, microclimate)	Positive costbenefit tier 1	Significant design/space challenges (e.g. coordinate with multiple property owners)	6-10 years		
2	Notable improvement/ new program opportunity addressing needs	Notable improvement supporting vision goals	Notable improvement (missing connection created in basic form)	Notable enhancement to market and/or community value	Notable progress toward county goals (promotes at least 2 goals e.g. water quality, health, microclimate)	Positive costbenefit tier 2	Modest design/space challenges (e.g. coordinate with one property owner)	3-5 years		
3	Transformative improvement/ new program opportunity addressing needs	Transformative improvement supporting vision goals	Transformative improvement (missing connection created in compelling form)	Transformative enhancement to market and/or community value	Transformative progress toward county goals (promotes at least 3 goals e.g. water quality, health, microclimate)	Positive costbenefit tier 3	Easily, readily implemented (e.g. on county land)	1-2 years		

