#### Arlington County Planning Commission Site Plan Review Committee

Report of the Site Plan Review Working Group Potential Revisions to the Site Plan Review Process

Preliminary Report

June 2014



#### Big Ideas

- Clarified role of other commissions and civic
- Introduction of an Optional Preliminary Review
- Introduction of a Streamlined (shorter) Review
- Clarified Role of Site Plan Review Chairs
- Revisions to SPRC Membership
- Restructured review agenda/discussion item list
- Revisions to submission requirements

# Role of SPRC, other commissions, and civic associations in site plan reviews

- SPRC would continue to be the County's principal forum for site plan reviews
- Other commissions and civic associations would be encouraged to time their initial reviews/discussions of site plan proposals so their SPRC representative could represent their interests effectively during site plan reviews
- Final reviews of site plans by other commissions and civic associations should be scheduled to allow their advice to be considered by the Planning Commission and County Board

### Optional Preliminary Review

- An opportunity for developers to get feedback about a proposal before they have made significant investments and when changes are still relatively easy
- Would occur at an early conceptual stage, prior to 4.1 submission
- Would involve a subset of SPRC Commissioners, citizens, and staff
  - who would also participate in the final review
- A one-time, two-hour meeting

#### Streamlined Review

- An opportunity for faster reviews for site plan proposals with few exceptions from existing County policies and plans.
- Participation in a preliminary review required to determine whether a streamlined review would be appropriate
- Determination made jointly by review chair, planning staff and developer
- Would be completed in three or fewer SPRC meetings
- Same review agenda

#### Role of Site Plan Review Chairs

- Responsible for determining composition of committee for their site plan review
- Responsible for setting meeting agendas; determining issues not relevant for a particular reviews
- Responsible for actively managing review process
- Partners with staff planner on all of these items

#### Changes to SPRC Membership

Goal: All interests represented; more efficient meetings

- Total potential membership for individual reviews would be reduced from more than 40 to about 30. Expected average participation would decline from about 15-18 to 12-15.
- Civic associations where proposed development is located would continue to have two representatives
- Adjacent civic associations would also be represented by a single representative
- Commissions with an interest in every site plan proposal would be standing members of SPRC: Transportation, Park and Recreation, Energy and Environment Conservation, and Urban Forestry
- Other Commissions would be represented whenever issues of importance to them are part of a site plan proposal: Historic Affairs and Landmark Review Board, Arts, Housing, Pedestrian, Bicycle and Transit Advisory Committees, and others as appropriate.
- Changes to at-large membership: (1) standing representative of Civic Federation; (3) at-large citizens for 2-year terms; (3) former Planning Commissioners for 2-year terms.
- Final membership for a specific review determined by review chair

## Changes to Review Agenda

# **Current Agenda – Major Issue Areas**

- Information Presentation
- Land Use and Zoning
- Site Design and Characteristics
- Architecture
- Transportation
- Open Space
- Community Benefits
- Construction Issues

# Proposed Agenda – Major Issue Areas

- Overview and Analysis of Site Plan Proposal
- Urban Design
- Site Design and Building Form
- Architecture
- Sustainability
- Community Benefits
- Other

#### Changes to Submission Requirements

- New checklist through which developer identifies requested zoning ordinance modifications and exceptions to other County policies and plans
- New requirements for optional preliminary reviews
- New requirements related to submission of materials for SPRC meetings

#### Other Changes

- Clarification of roles of SPRC participants especially review chair, staff and applicants
- Review of PDSPs to be done by Long Range Planning Committee
- Site plan review meetings to provide an opportunity for observers to make comments and ask questions
- Incorporation of regular site visits at early review meeting

#### Next Steps

- Review recommendations with SPRC and incorporate changes (6/14)
- Brief County Board Members and the County Manager (6/14)
- Work Session with the County Board (6/14)
- Adoption of Recommendations by the Planning Commission (est. 7/14)
- Development of Implementation Tools (7-12/14)
- Final Adoption of Changes to Site Plan Review Process (est. 12/14)
- Implementation Goal: January 2015