

Field Fund Work Group Meeting  
Wednesday, December 9  
7:00pm  
Microsoft TEAMS

Meeting Notes

Attendance

Shirley Brothwell (Co-Chair)  
Peter Lusk (Co-Chair)  
Steve Finn  
Kimberly Durand  
David Tornquist  
Alex Cumana

DPR Staff

Peter Alchin  
Greg Anselene  
Megan Carney  
Patrick Leonard  
Tyler Remerow

Guests

John Foti

Notes:

Presentation: DPR

Working Group Member Steve Finn

- Ages 4-8, 9-14 and 15-18 are better reflected in fee Option # 3.
- Ages 4-8 typically play on lesser fields than older age groups.
- Noted that 15-year-olds were missing when in Option 3 fees by Ages.
- Questions about the scholarship program.
- Prefers a model that travel teams pay an additional fee.

Working Group Member Alex Cumana

- Supports fee option number #1.

- Agree with travel team fees, feels like this is consistent with other parks and rec user fees.
- Recognizes that field maintenance program needs money and would like the County to make it a priority.
- Would like to raise as much revenue as much as possible through the field fund.

Working Group Member David Tornquist

- Believes that fee option 3 is too complicated.
- Concerned that you have to have certain standards set for each age group and would really need to develop a reason for the fees
- Supports fee option #1 or #2.
- Comfortable increasing fees as long as there is a driving reason for it.
- Collecting more money just to have it shouldn't be the reason.

Working Group Member Kim Durand

- Field Fund- wants an option 4 is there a way to develop a fee based on use of quality of field.
- Also liked Option 1 based on the ease of it.
- Favors a fee based on the amount of time on the field.
- Is in favor of a team travel fee, they use the field more and pay more that it makes sense they would pay more.
- Shouldn't want to just want to maximize revenue just to maximize.
- Need to justify and as long as good reasoning she is ok with increasing revenue

Working Group Member Peter Alchin

- In favor of option #1 or option #2
- Does not support maximizing fees

Working Group Co-Chair Peter Lusk

- In favor of option #2
- Ease of administration

Working Group Co-Chair Shirley Brothwell

- Supportive of a fee that is reflective of the touch time.
- Supports FFWG Member Steve Finn comments that ages should be adjusted and started at 8.
- Thinks that extra costs should be levied on travel teams.
- Believes there should be even handedness with external and internal travel teams.
- Believes in Option 3A with travel team.
- Not an assessment to raise as much revenue as possible. Not into maximizing revenue just as much as possible.

All Working Group members support raising adult field fund fees.

